

It's King -- or Chaos!!! So Vote Liberal!!!

THE LIBERAL REVIEW

Published by the Saskatoon Liberal Association

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 9, 1935

Liberals' Policy On Wheat Situation Is Clear Cut, Practical

The policy of Mackenzie King supports towards Canada's most pressing agricultural problem. What the situation is, defines the seriousness of the problem and offers remedial measures which have both immediate and long range effect.

The immediate need is to guarantee our farmers a minimum price which will ensure a decent standard of living.

The second need is to re-establish the Canadian grain business on a healthy, self-supporting basis.

The attitude of Liberal supporters towards the wheat situation may be summarized in five main points:

(1) They believe that it is the duty of the Federal Government to aid agriculture in times of depression.

(2) They stand for a guaranteed minimum wheat price for the farmers;

(3) They believe that the Board of Trade must be given the right to administer, and that Federal fiscal policies should render every possible assistance to the Board;

(4) They believe that the Argentine trade policy of seeking wider markets for Canadian products will assist the Board to function more to the advantage of the Canadian farmer and the Canadian nation;

Contract To Try Policy

Liberals believe that agricultural production must be controlled, but that it is in notable contrast to the Conservative policy which assumes that the market, and that Federal fiscal policies should render every possible assistance to the Board;

(5) They believe that the Mackenzie King trade policy of seeking wider markets for Canadian products will assist the Board to function more to the advantage of the Canadian farmer and the Canadian nation.

Contract To Try Policy

Liberals believe that agricultural production must be controlled, but that it is in notable contrast to the Conservative policy which assumes that the market, and that Federal fiscal policies should render every possible assistance to the Board;

The Canadian farmer should not have to bear the loss occasioned by the competitive advantages enjoyed by Argentina and Australia, and the effects of currency depreciation in those countries.

The Canadian farmer should not have to suffer heavier tariff protection for the same products as the Argentine and Australian farmers, and the prices of the things he produces deserve to be deployed lawfully.

Liberals believe that for the present year a minimum price should be guaranteed to the farmer for the lower grade of wheat and for the higher grade of wheat in surplus of what will fill Grade No. 6 and the spread. The spread between these two grades and No. 1 should not be permitted to exceed the amount necessary to have a reasonable profit for the farmer.

Any financial loss which a farmer may incur may involve should be borne by the country as a whole.

What Wheat Growers' Choice

The Bennett wheat policy is:

(1) Close the channels of trade so no one can buy or sell grain and pile up surpluses which impoverish the farmer and burden the national treasury;

(2) Seal off the grain market from this disastrous position;

(3) Protect the manufacturer of farm implements and household needs, so living costs can be kept at a level beyond the farmer's wherewithal;

The King wheat policy is:

(1) Guarantee a satisfactory minimum price to the farmer, and to keep him out of work, and to find markets for our surplus products. The people gave him his chance, and he has failed, and the people will not again trust him;

Can. Mr. Woodrow's form a Government? Obviously not he will not have a large enough group in the House to do so;

The reconstruction party is the true of the party.

The reconstruction party, perhaps better described as the socialist wing of the Tory party;

What about the so-called Social Credit party? Well, they have only a few candidates in the field, and it is certain this group cannot form a Government. What is worse, they have no fiscal leader, no policy, with the exception that they are

draft provided only for a dictatorial, compulsory method of state-controlled marketing which deprived the farmer of every right of self-government and control of his crop. It was the Liberals who inserted the democratic features of the law and insisted upon it. What Board which would meet the needs of the producer?

The pegging of domestic wheat prices, a year ago, in the interests of the farmers was done by the Liberals. But after the democratic features of the law were inserted, the government should have made every effort to dispose of the Canadian wheat stocks which were then held in dependence on foreign markets. Any financial losses which such a course might have involved should have been borne by the country as a whole.

Losing Millions

The Canadian wheat producer should not have to bear the loss occasioned by the Federal Government's mistakes trade policy;

The Canadian farmer should not have to bear the loss occasioned by the competitive advantages enjoyed by Argentina and Australia, and the effects of currency depreciation in those countries.

The Canadian farmer should not have to suffer heavier tariff protection for the same products as the Argentine and Australian farmers, and the prices of the things he produces deserve to be deployed lawfully.

Liberals believe that for the present year a minimum price should be guaranteed to the farmer for the lower grade of wheat and for the higher grade of wheat in surplus of what will fill Grade No. 6 and the spread. The spread between these two grades and No. 1 should not be permitted to exceed the amount necessary to have a reasonable profit for the farmer.

Any financial loss which a farmer may incur may involve should be borne by the country as a whole.

Contract To Try Policy

Liberals believe that agricultural production must be controlled, but that it is in notable contrast to the Conservative policy which assumes that the market, and that Federal fiscal policies should render every possible assistance to the Board;

(5) They believe that the Argentine trade policy of seeking wider markets for Canadian products will assist the Board to function more to the advantage of the Canadian farmer and the Canadian nation.

Contract To Try Policy

Liberals believe that agricultural production must be controlled, but that it is in notable contrast to the Conservative policy which assumes that the market, and that Federal fiscal policies should render every possible assistance to the Board;

The Canadian farmer should not have to bear the loss occasioned by the competitive advantages enjoyed by Argentina and Australia, and the effects of currency depreciation in those countries.

The Canadian farmer should not have to suffer heavier tariff protection for the same products as the Argentine and Australian farmers, and the prices of the things he produces deserve to be deployed lawfully.

Liberals believe that for the present year a minimum price should be guaranteed to the farmer for the lower grade of wheat and for the higher grade of wheat in surplus of what will fill Grade No. 6 and the spread. The spread between these two grades and No. 1 should not be permitted to exceed the amount necessary to have a reasonable profit for the farmer.

Any financial loss which a farmer may incur may involve should be borne by the country as a whole.

What Wheat Growers' Choice

The Bennett wheat policy is:

(1) Close the channels of trade so no one can buy or sell grain and pile up surpluses which impoverish the farmer and burden the national treasury;

(2) Seal off the grain market from this disastrous position;

(3) Protect the manufacturer of farm implements and household needs, so living costs can be kept at a level beyond the farmer's wherewithal;

The King wheat policy is:

(1) Guarantee a satisfactory minimum price to the farmer, and to keep him out of work, and to find markets for our surplus products. The people gave him his chance, and he has failed, and the people will not again trust him;

Can. Mr. Woodrow's form a Government? Obviously not he will not have a large enough group in the House to do so;

The reconstruction party is the true of the party.

The reconstruction party, perhaps better described as the socialist wing of the Tory party;

What about the so-called Social Credit party? Well, they have only a few candidates in the field, and it is certain this group cannot form a Government. What is worse, they have no fiscal leader, no policy, with the exception that they are

The Liberal Candidate



DR. A. MACG. YOUNG

TO SASKATOON ELECTORS!

To the Electors of the Federal

Electoral District of Saskatoon

On October 14, the election will be called

on who will be given the

Government for the next five years. That is a

matter of the greatest importance, because

it is a matter of having a good government

and a good prosperity for all of us.

Any financial loss which a

farmer may incur should be borne by the

country as a whole.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

Government which will be

the best for all of us.

Let me say to Liberal electors that

you are to be given the

SOCIAL CREDIT NOT FEDERAL MATTER

Why Have Their Men Been Chosen To Run In Federal Ridings?

Social Credit was proposed in Alberta by Mr. William Aberhart as a provincial policy, to be applied by a provincial government, which he claimed, had full power to do so. The people of Alberta supported him in this view, made him premier, gave him a political mandate to go ahead, and an over-whelming majority of the people of Alberta enabled him to pass new laws and enforce such administrative acts as he considered necessary in the carrying of his Social Credit program. It was and is a purely provincial matter.

The situation in Saskatchewan is entirely different. In a Federal election campaign that is under way there is no proposal being advanced to set up a Social Credit government at Ottawa, or even to nominate a Social Credit candidate for Canada. In fact, no attempt is being made to nominate Social Credit candidates in Eastern Canada, which comprises 173 of the 300 seats in the House of Commons. Therefore, the adoption of Social Credit is NOT an issue in this election as far as Canada and Saskatchewan are concerned.

Why, then, have Social Credit candidates been nominated in Saskatchewan Federal constituencies?

The answer has been given by Mr. C. M. Williston, of Calgary, who was busily on getting these candidates nominated when a statement was made by Mr. Aberhart.

"We are trying to make certain that our electors (meaning the Social Credit candidates nominated) will be the watchdogs of the people of the Province of Alberta," gets a good break."

In other words, Saskatchewan electors are urged to elect members to the House of Commons who will be the watchdogs of their own provinces, or Canada as a whole, but to protect and promote the policies of the provincial government.

That is, Alberta's Social Crediters, with their two-to-one majority, is to play the part of a minority to pull down the Social Crediters in Saskatchewan, rather than protecting and promoting its own interests and those of Canada. Like the foolish monkey of the fable, all it stands to get are burned fingers.

Something More Sinister

There is, however, more than this, and another, equally sinister, behind this scene in this ominous onslaught of Social Credit organizers, speakers and candidates upon Saskatchewan.

The Social Credit party is split in twain throughout Canada by the revolt of H. H. Stevens and the organization of his Reconstruction party. As a result the Conservative party has been defeated on October 24 by a strong and united Liberal party. Tory managers, organizers, and leaders, who were the backbone of the party as it was, furtively a division in the Liberal ranks can be effected on the one hand, and the Stevens Reconstruction party crippled on the other.

With the amazing victory of the Aberhart Social Credit party in Alberta, they saw their opportunity and conceived the idea of splitting the Conservative party of the Liberal party in its greatest Western stronghold, Saskatchewan, and at the same time out-manning the Stevens party.

Tory managers, organizers, and leaders, who were the backbone of the party as it was, furtively a division in the Liberal ranks can be effected on the one hand, and the Stevens Reconstruction party crippled on the other.

Purely Tory Election Dodge

Consequently, in a moment of Conservative Government, went to Alberta and came back to Saskatchewan the accredited Provincial organizer of the Social Credit party. He was not named, and was the Social Credit candidate in Saskatchewan.

H. H. Stevens, former T. M. A. for Brandon, and now a Member of Parliament, was frittering with the idea of becoming Stevens Reconstruction candidate in Wood Mountain. Federal constituency, suddenly, and without any explanation, was nominated in Wood Mountain. Whether under the banner of Stevens, or Stevens or Social Credit, Mr. Warren is and will continue to be a 100% Tory just as in the past.

Other cause might be cited.

Aberhart's Inconsistency

Upon taking office as Premier of Alberta, the first thing Mr. Aberhart discovered his Government needed and had to have was money—millions of dollars of it.

He departed at once for Ottawa, to see Premier Bennett, from whom he was told upwards of \$18,000,000. Mr. Bennett proposed giving him \$2,000,000, but when he promised that consideration would then be given to Mr. Aberhart's request for the much larger amount.

On October 24, just as Premier Bennett gave him \$2,000,000, Premier Aberhart announced from Ottawa that the Social Credit party should not nominate a candidate to the much larger amount.

Returning from Ottawa to Edmonton, Premier Aberhart stopped off at Saskatoon to advise the public of his findings there. The day the Social Credit party nominated candidate to oppose Hon. W. L. Mackenzie King, the Liberal leader, in Prince Albert, and they brought Mr. Baden Alberta for the purpose.

Just Tory Party Strategy

The significant and interesting attitude of Mr. Aberhart towards the leaders of the Conservative party and towards the leaders of the Liberal party is to keep the Social Credit party as clearly as possible in the background, so that it will not be able to defeat low tariff Liberals in Saskatchewan rather than any other district to promote the cause of Social Credit.

What has been asked, does Mr. Aberhart, a close personal friend of Mr. Bennett, of Calgary, had our laundry interview of Mr. Bennett, and our laundry interview of Mr. Bennett, and one who for many years has had the welfare of Western Canada at heart and whose advice he followed by attacking the Social Credit party in the nomination of one of its Social Credit organizers in Alberta in opposition to Mr. King, and remains silent about Mr. Woodworth and Stevens?

Tory Game Fully Exposed

What has been done is that Mr. Aberhart has not been opposed, Social Credit supporters in Calgary did nominate a candidate against Mr. Bennett.

Mr. Bennett's re-election to the Senate, and the Social Credit candidate was nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

What has been done is that Mr. Bennett, a second and third time, has been nominated in the same constituency so as to split the vote, and thereby, if possible, Mr. Bennett.

King's Game

